श्री उपसभापति : आप बैठिए। आपका टाइम हो गया है।

श्री राजनीति प्रसाद : सर, मैं चाहता हूं कि मिनिस्टर साहब यहां पर आकर इसके बारे में जवाब दें। सर, यह कानून और व्यवस्था का मामला है। वहां जो थानेदार हैं, वे हमारे माइग्रेंट लेबरर्स की शिकायत को नहीं सुनते हैं। क्या यह कानून का मामला नहीं है?

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Shri S.S. Ahluwalia to associate.

श्री तारिक अनवर (महाराष्ट्र) : उपसभापति महोदय, ...

श्री उपसभापति : आप भी associate कर रहे हैं।

श्री एस0एस0 अहलुवालिया (झारखंड) **:** उपसभापति महोदय, इत्तेफाक से मैं सिख भी हूं और बिहार तथा झारखंड का वासी भी हूं। मानस की जात, एक ही पहचानबो, गुरु गोबिंद सिंह जी का यह मैसेज लेकर लोग पंजाब में फलते-फूलते हैं और वहां कारखाने पंजाबियों के हो सकते हैं, खेत-खलिहान भी पंजाबियों के हो सकते हैं, किन्तु बिना बिहार, झारखंड और उत्तर प्रदेश के मजदूरों के और उनके हाथों की ताकत के न तो वहां फसल हो सकती है, न वहां की इंडस्ट्री चल सकती है। परन्तु, उनके साथ अन्याय हो रहा है और ये छीना-झपटी करने वाले, छोटे-मोटे लुटेरे उनको लूटते और पीटते हैं। वे बड़ी मुसीबत से वहां रहते हैं और ये मजदूर अपनी सुरक्षा की व्यवस्था पूरी तरह से नहीं कर सकते। जिस दिन उनको तनख्वाह मिलती है, ये छीना-झपटी करने वाले उस दिन उनसे पैसे छीन लेते हैं, दबाव देकर पैसे खींचकर ले जाते हैं। कोई अफीम खाने वाला है, कोई शराब पीने वाला है, तरह-तरह के व्यसन करने वाले लोग छीना-झपटी कर रहे हैं और उन पर अगर पंजाब की सरकार, पंजाब की पुलिस रोक नहीं लगाती है तो यह दुर्भाग्यजनक है। वहां पर हमारे ये लोग, जो खेतों में फसल उगा रहे हैं, कारखानों में उत्पादन कर रहे हैं, इनकी हर तरह की सुरक्षा की व्यवस्था की जिम्मेदारी पंजाब सरकार को लेनी चाहिए और केन्द्र सरकार को चाहिए कि उनको अगर किसी मदद की जरूरत है, तो उस मदद को करके इनकी सुरक्षा की पूरी व्यवस्था की जाए।

SHRI A. VIJAYARAGHAVAN: No, no. It is important... *(Interruptions)*...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I cannot allow you. You have not given a notice... *(Interruptions)*...

SHRI A. VIJAYARAGHAVAN: One second, Sir. It is a very serious matter.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: It is a serious matter. That is why I allowed... *(Interruptions)*...

SHRI A. VIJAYARAGHAVAN: Action should be taken and an assurance should be given that such acts will not be repeated in future... *(Interruptions)*... It should not be repeated.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Dr. V. Maitreyan.

Delhi High Court Judgement for reversal of cut off date for 2G Spectrum

DR. V. MAITREYAN (Tamil Nadu): Thank you, Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir. Last Friday, on 4th December, 2009, the hon. Prime Minister happily informed the nation about the auction of 3G Spectrum and said that his Government has decided that 3G Spectrum will be allocated at market determined prices, not at administered prices. On the very same day, the Pioneer

1.00 **P.M.**

Newspaper carried the headline news about the nontransparent behaviour of the Union Telecom Minister on the 2G Spectrum. ...*(Interruptions)*...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: This is on the court's decision. Please confine yourself to the court decision.

DR. V. MAITREYAN: I am on that only.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI V. NARAYANASAMY): The Minister's name should not be brought...*(Interruptions)*...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I have already said that.

DR. V. MAITREYAN: I am not mentioning the names, Sir. ...*(Interruptions)*...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I have already said that. ...*(Interruptions)*... I have got him cautioned. ...*(Interruptions)*...

DR. V. MAITREYAN: On 1st November, 2007, the then Union Law Minister, on whose legal acumen I have great regard, in his Ministerial notings, observed that in view of the importance of the 2G Spectrum allocation and various options indicated in the statement of the case, he said, it is necessary that the whole issue is first considered by an empowered Group of Ministers. On 2nd November, 2007, the Telecom Minister wrote to the Prime Minister that notwithstanding the Law Minister's objection, he decided to continue with the first controversial policy of 'first come, first served' basis for allocation of Spectrum. On that very same day, after receiving the letter, the Prime Minister wrote to the Telecom Minister, drawing the attention to the issues raised in the media on this Spectrum allocation and stressed on the need to ensure fairness and transparency. In the letter, the Prime Minister insisted that he should be kept informed before any further action is taken in this regard. The crux of the matter is the revision of the cut-off date for granting UYSM. The Telecom Ministry fixed '1st October, 2007' as the deadline to receive applications. Accordingly, a Press note, dated 24th September, 2007, was issued. Up to 1st October, 2007, a total of 575 applications were received; 232, up to 25th of September, and 343, afterwards, before 1st October. Suddenly, in a Press-release, dated 10th January, 2008, the Telecom Ministry announced that applications received up to 25th September alone will be considered. The reversal of cut-off date from 1st October, 2007 to 25th September, 2007 was contested in the Delhi High Court, and a single Judge Order of 1st July, 2009 and now a two-bench Order, dated 24th November of the Delhi High Court, headed by the Chief Justice of Delhi High Court, quashed the reversal of the cut-off date. The Court observed that there cannot be a change in the rule after the game has begun. In his affidavit before the Court, the Union Telecom Minister concealed.....*(Interruptions)*...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please conclude. ...*(Interruptions)*...

DR. V. MAITREYAN: Sir, I have to make it. ...*(Interruptions)*...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I am only cautioning you.

DR. V. MAITREYAN: Sir, you have allowed everybody else on this important issue. This precedent... ...*(Interruptions)*...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: These precedents, again, cannot be quoted.

DR. V. MAITREYAN: But everybody has violated this, Sir. ...*(Interruptions)*... In his affidavit before the Court, the Union Telecom Minister concealed the Prime Minister's letter, asking him to keep the process in abeyance! The Telecom Ministry only produced the Telecom Minister's letter to the Prime Minister, but it concealed the Prime Minister's reply to the Telecom Minister... ...*(Interruptions)*...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Your time is over. ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI V. NARAYANASAMY: Sir, he cannot mention it. ...*(Interruptions)*...

DR. V. MAITREYAN: It is not *sub judice*. ...*(Interruptions)*... It is not *sub judice*. ...*(Interruptions)*... What is this, Sir? ...*(Interruptions)*... The time has been given.. ...*(Interruptions)*...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: He cannot take the names of the Ministers. ...*(Interruptions)*... He cannot take the names ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI TIRUCHI SIVA (Tamil Nadu): Sir, he should not speak on the Prime Minister's letter. ...*(Interruptions)*... He should speak on the judgment, Sir. ...*(Interruptions)*...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: He is to only confine himself to the judgment of the High Court. ...*(Interruptions)*...

DR. V. MAITREYAN: In the affidavit ...*(Interruptions)*... When I saw the judgment, I have to talk about it, Sir. ...*(Interruptions)*... Because the affidavit.....

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Maitreyan, please hear me. ...*(Interruptions)*... I would not like to remind the hon. Member again and again. ...*(Interruptions)*... Sometimes, it happens. ...*(Interruptions)*... If, on every issue, you want to break the rules, that is not proper; I cannot allow that. ...*(Interruptions)*...

DR. V. MAITREYAN: Now that the Delhi High Court has quashed... ...*(Interruptions)*...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: That is over. ...*(Interruptions)*... That is over.

DR. V. MAITREYAN: I will finish it in one minute, Sir. ...*(Interruptions)*...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: It is meant for everybody in the Zero Hour.

DR. V. MAITREYAN: Now that the Delhi High Court has quashed the reversal of the cut-off date ...*(Interruptions)*...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please sit down. I have to stop it. ...*(Interruptions)*... The next one is Shri Amar Singh. ...*(Interruptions)*... Shri Amar Singh. ...*(Interruptions)*... Please sit down. ...*(Interruptions)*... I appeal to the hon. Members...*(Interruptions)*... I appeal to the hon. Members, please don't break the rules of Zero Hour. We are following it properly, and it has been doing faithfully.....*(Interruptions)*... The moment, on this subject or on that subject, if some precedent is there ...*(Interruptions)*... if it is there, ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRIMATI BRINDA KARAT (West Bengal): Sir, I have to make only one request.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: No, this is not possible. ...*(Interruptions)*... I humbly appeal to the hon. Member, please respect the Zero Hour; it is in your own interest. ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI RAVI SHANKAR PRASAD (Bihar): Sir, kindly allow me to speak. ...*(Interruptions)*...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: That is all right. We have discussed. ...*(Interruptions)*... We have discussed it, Mr. Ravi Shankar Prasad. ...*(Interruptions)*... Several times, we have discussed. ...*(Interruptions)*... Every time, it d□s not matter. ...*(Interruptions)*... I appeal to you, please do not do it. ...*(Interruptions)*... Please do not do it; otherwise we will not be able to accept Zero Hour. ...*(Interruptions)*... Shri Amar Singh. ...*(Interruptions)*... Shri Amar Singh. ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRIMATI BRINDA KARAT: Sir, only one request I want to make.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: No, no; I have no objection to your raising according to rules. Give a notice and we will discuss it again and again. ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI RAVI SHANKAR PRASAD: Sir, please allow me to associate.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You also please associate yourself with that. ...*(Interruptions)*... You have associated. ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI RAVI SHANKAR PRASAD: Only one minute.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: No, no, no. ...*(Interruptions)*...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I can't allow. *(Interruptions)*... Please....*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI RAVI SHANKAR PRASAD: *

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: That is all right. *(Interruptions)*... Please. Mr.Amar Singh. *(Interruptions)*... Don't worry. *(Interruptions)*... Please. *(Interruptions)*... Nobody is allowed. Nothing is going on record. *(Interruptions)*... Nothing is going on record. *(Interruptions)*... Shri Amar Singh. *(Interruptions)*... I will give you four minutes. *(Interruptions)*...

SHRI V. NARAYANASAMY: Sir, ...*(Interruptions)*...

* Not recorded.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Narayanasamy, I have not allowed. *(Interruptions)*... Why are you making this? *(Interruptions)*... Please. I have not allowed. *(Interruptions)*... Please. Mr. Amar Singh. *(Interruptions)*.. Please. Mr. Amar Singh. *(Interruptions)*...

SHRI M. VENKAIAH NAIDU: *

DR. V. MAITREYAN: *

SHRI V. NARAYANASAMY: Sir, I would like to say ... *(Interruptions)*...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: No, Mr. Narayanasamy. Please. ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI V. NARAYANASAMY: Sir, we have to respond. *(Interruptions)*... We have right to respond. *(Interruptions)*...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: He is Minister of State for Parliamentary Affairs. *(Interruptions)*... I can't help. *(Interruptions)*... If Members are not following the rule, I will not be able to do anything. *(Interruptions)*... Nothing will go on record. *(Interruptions)*... Nothing will go on record. *(Interruptions)*...

DR. V. MAITREYAN: *

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Nothing will go on record. *(Interruptions)*... I can't help. *(Interruptions)*...

SHRI RAVI SHANKAR PRASAD: *

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: If Members want to break the rules, I have no objection. *(Interruptions)*...

SHRI V. NARAYANASAMY: Sir, kindly bear with me. Mr. Jairam Ramesh, the hon. Minister, has responded. When the telecom issue was raised, the hon. Minister responded. *(Interruptions)*...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: That is why I was about to tell you, Mr. Narayanasamy, that at any point of time the Minister can intervene and I can't prevent also. *(Interruptions)*... Please. *(Interruptions)*... He is not responding. *(Interruptions)*... Nothing will go on record. *(Interruptions)*...

DR. V. MAITREYAN: *

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Nothing will go on record. *(Interruptions)*... I can't allow. *(Interruptions)*... Nothing is going on record.

SHRI TIRUCHI SIVA: *

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Nothing is going on record. Mr. Siva, nothing is going on record. *(Interruptions)*...

SHRI TIRUCHI SIVA: *

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Both of you quarrel. *(Interruptions)*... Nothing is going on record. *(Interruptions)*... What can I do? *(Interruptions)*...

---

* Not recorded.

SHRI S. S. AHLUWALIA: *

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Nothing is going on record. *(Interruptions)*... What can I do? That is all I can do. *(Interruptions)*.. Please. Now, Mr. Amar Singh.

Misuse of Government agencies for political purposes

श्री अमर सिंह (उत्तर प्रदेश) : सर, मैं बहुत आदर और विनम्रता के साथ कुछ तथ्य सामने लाना चाहता हूँ। वह तथ्य बिल्कुल पारदर्शी है और सत्य से परिपूर्ण है। पिछले दिनों अखबारों में एक खबर छपी। उस खबर में यह प्रकाशित हुआ कि स्वर्गीय सुनील दत्त के सुपुत्र संजय दत्त, जो आज कल हमारे दल में हैं और हमारे दल के राष्ट्रीय महासचिव हैं, सीबीआई ने फिर से मुकदमा चलाने की मांग की है। जिस टाडा के मुकदमे में उनको मुक्ति दे दी गई थी, दो साल बीत जाने के बाद, टाइम बार होने के बाद सीबीआई ने उनके ऊपर फिर से मुकदमा चलाने की मांग की है। उसके बाद कानून मंत्रालय द्वारा सीबीआई के इस मांग को अटॉरनी जनरल के पास भेजा गया। अटॉरनी जनरल देश का सबसे बड़ा लॉ आफिसर होता है। अटॉरनी जनरल ने एक स्पष्ट राय दी कि इसका टाइम बार हो चुका है, इस मामले में सिर्फ अटॉरनी जनरल ने ही नहीं, अटॉरनी जनरल से पहले सोलीसीटर जनरल ने भी न सिर्फ संजय दत्त के पक्ष में राय दी, बल्कि अदालत में जाकर संजय दत्त के पक्ष में बहस की। उनमें आज एक गुणात्मक अंतर आ गया है, जिस समय सोलीसीटर जनरल ने संजय दत्त के पक्ष में बयान दिया और अदालत में उनके पक्ष में बहस की, उस समय संजय दत्त समाजवादी पार्टी के राष्ट्रीय महासचिव नहीं थे। जैसे ही वह समाजवादी पार्टी के राष्ट्रीय महासचिव हो गए, तो सोलीसीटर जनरल की ओपीनियन, अटॉरनी जनरल की ओपीनियन बदल गई और टाइम बार होने के बाद भी संजय दत्त के मामले को फिर से खोलने की कोशिश हो रही है। सर, मैं यह कहना चाहता हूँ कि मैं कोई आरोप नहीं लगा रहा हूँ। हमारे प्रगतिशील वामपंथी साथियों ने साढ़े चार साल तक यूपीए-लेफ्ट कमेटी चलाई और सरकार चलाई। और यह उनकी गुणवत्ता है, उनका निर्णय है कि उन्होंने सरकार चलाई। उस समय तो कॉमरेड विजयन का मामला प्रकाशित नहीं हुआ, लेकिन जैसे ही उन्होंने सरकार छोड़ दी, कॉमरेड विजयन का मामला प्रकाशित हो गया। सर, जैसा ....(व्यवधान)....

श्री उपसभापति : आपका वक्त खत्म हो रहा है, आप घड़ी की तरफ भी देखिए।

श्री अमर सिंह : हां, मैं घड़ी देख रहा हूं। महोदय, मैं सिर्फ इतना ही कहना चाहता हूं कि selective use of CBI नहीं होना चाहिए। हमारे नेता मुलायम सिंह यादव के मामले में एटॉर्नी जनरल ने उनके पक्ष में निर्णय दिया है। अगर मुलायम सिंह के पक्ष में निर्णय दिया है, तो मुलायम सिंह को भी छोड़ देना चाहिए। मैं तो स्वागत करता हूं कि क्वात्रोची को आपने छोड़ दिया, क्योंकि सॉलिसिटर जनरल ने क्वात्रोची के पक्ष में निर्णय दिया है। जब क्वात्रोची को सॉलिसिटर जनरल के कहने से छोड़ दिया, तो मुलायम सिंह को एटॉर्नी जनरल के कहने से छोड़ दीजिए, संजय दत्त को एटॉर्नी जनरल के कहने से छोड़ दीजिए। संजय दत्त और मुलायम सिंह दोषी हैं ...(**समय की घंटी**)... क्योंकि साथ नहीं हैं, लेकिन क्वात्रोची को ..(**समय की घंटी**).. सॉलिसिटर जनरल के कहने से छोड़ दिया जाएगा और एटॉर्नी जनरल के कहने से भी ....(**व्यवधान**)... यह जो सी.बी.आई. है, जो सेंट्रल ब्यूरो ऑफ इन्वेस्टिगेशन है, यह कांग्रेस ब्यूरो ऑफ इन्वेस्टिगेशन है।

श्री वीरेन्द्र भाटिया (उत्तर प्रदेश) : सर, मैं इस विषय से एसोसिएट करता हूं।

श्री बृजभूषण तिवारी (उत्तर प्रदेश) : महोदय, मैं भी स्वयं को इस विषय से सम्बद्ध करता हूं।

श्री राम नारायण साहू (उत्तर प्रदेश) : महोदय, मैं भी इस विषय से एसोसिएट करता हूं।

* Not recorded.